

2025:PHHC:050382



205/2.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3137-2025

Date of decision: 21.04.2025

Munsab @ Munasb

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in FIR No.271, dated 07.09.2024, under Sections 3/13(1) and 8/13(3) of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and Section 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Hathin, District Palwal.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 21.01.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that as per the allegations levelled, a secret information was received qua the involvement of the petitioner and the co-accused in the sale of beef. As per the allegations, the petitioner was indulging in the offence in question from his house. Learned counsel submits that it is highly improbable that the petitioner on sighting the police party would have

managed to flee from his house and evade arrest. Learned counsel further submits that since the petitioner has no previous criminal antecedents much less ever been involved in any case of similar nature, it is evident that he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It has also been submitted that the alleged recovery of beef has not been made from the house of the petitioner but from the house of co-accused.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 21.01.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 21, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No