



**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3149-2025

Date of decision: 17.03.2025

PARDEEP KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.417, dated 29.08.2024, under Sections 376(2)(n), 377 & 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Model Town, District Rewari (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of the case, prosecutrix filed written complaint against Pardeep Kumar alleging that earlier she was doing job in the Central Security but due to lock down she lost her job and she started working in the house of others for earning livelihood. In October 2021, through her friend Priyanka she came in contact with the accused Pardeep. They started talking to each other. He was told that the prosecutrix was having two children and he assured to take care of them and he would keep them in rented house. In November 2022, he started treating her as his wife. They started meeting each other and made physical relations. He had promised to solemnize marriage with her. She was again kept by him from 22.06.2024 to 26.06.2024 in Hotel Sanskriti near Abhay Singh Chowk,



Opposite Dharam Kanta in Rewari. In fact, he continued relationship with her on the false pretext of marriage. She tried to contact Pardeep and came to know that he had allegedly given the hotel on rent and he was not picking her phone. Finally she contacted him and told him to perform marriage with her to which he refused. With theses allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that allegations levelled against the petitioner are false. In pursuance of order dated 21.01.2025, he has already joined the investigation and he is not required for any other purpose. The complainant had taken money from him number of times, when he demanded back said money, present FIR was lodged with false allegations. At present, matter has been compromised and the complainant has given her affidavit in his favour Annexure P-2 and on the basis of which CRM-M-64479-2024 has been filed for quashing of FIR. He is still ready to abide by the terms of bail order.

4. Status report has been filed. It is confirmed that present petitioner joined investigation on 02.02.2025. One mobile phone has been recovered from the petitioner which was used in the commission of crime. Petitioner is also a married man having three children. Earlier he was working as a Patwari and later on he was dismissed from service. Thereafter, he started running a hotel. Learned counsel representing State of Haryana on instructions from ASI Promila confirmed that petitioner is not required for further investigation.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is not required for any other purpose. So far as, alleged affidavit of complainant/prosecutrix (Annexure P-2) is concerned, the same will be



considered at appropriate stage. Considering the aforesaid factual position, anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner- Pardeep Kumar is allowed. He shall not be arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join the investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

17.03.2025
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable :	Yes /No