



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-2808-2025

Date of decision: April 24, 2025

KULDEEP @ DEVA @ KULDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.315 dated 28.12.2024 under Sections 115, 3(5), 333, 351(3), 61 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Section 308(5) BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Arya Nagar, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. After issuing notice of motion on 20.01.2025, the petitioner had been granted interim bail by this Court vide, with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the order dated 20.01.2025 reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a perusal of the FIR in question annexed as Annexure P-1 clearly reveals that the petitioner was not named therein much less any allegations levelled of causing any injuries on the person of the complainant or his brother-in-law. The only mention/role alleged against the petitioner is of having conspired with the

**CRM-M-2808-2025****-2-**

co-accused, who inflicted the alleged injuries on the person of the complainant and injured Raghav. On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to the nature of the injuries sustained by the complainant to which it has been submitted that all the said injuries were opined to be simple in nature.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner reiterates that the petitioner was not present at the spot of the alleged occurrence and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that in compliance of order dated 20.01.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation, much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed, and interim order dated 20.01.2025 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

April 24, 2025*Jaspreet Kaur***(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No**Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*