



COC-256-2024 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-256-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 10, 2025

Pawan Kumar and ors.

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Parth Gupta Deputy Commissioner Sirsa and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMAPresent: Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, A.A.G. Haryana

Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 21.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-2275-2018 and connected cases.
2. The relevant portion of order dated 21.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-2275-2018 is reproduced as under:-

“7. In view of the aforesaid reasons, we are of the considered view that the petitioner is entitled for refund of the amount deposited by him alongwith simple interest @ 8% per annum. Since respondent No.3 has shown inability to make the payment in the written reply, as such, it is further ordered that in case respondent No.3-Improvement Trust, Sirsa is not in a position to pay the amount, the Department of Local Bodies shall ensure the payment within 03 months from receiving a copy of this order and can recover the amount from the said respondent for its dues.”

**COCP-256-2024 (O&M)**

3. In compliance of the above referred to order, compliance report on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 by way of affidavit of Virender Singh Sehrawat, District Municipal Commissioner cum CEO, Municipal Council, Sirsa has been filed. The relevant portion of the same is reproduced as under:-

“4. That it is humbly submitted that in compliance of this Hon'ble Court's order dated 21.08.2023, the answering respondent has released the payment to the petitioners including deposit amount alongwith interest @8% per annum. The office report pertaining details of the aforementioned payment made to the petitioners is attached herewith as Annexure R-1.”

4. In view of the above, order dated 21.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP-2275-2018 and connected cases has already been complied with.

5. Accordingly, the contempt is purged. Rule is *discharged*.

6. Pending application (s) if any also stands disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Novemeber 10, 2025

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No