



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

324

CRM-M-3758-2021**Date of decision: May 15, 2025****JASVEER SINGH @ JASSI AND ORS**

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. T.P. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.B.S. Gill, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 6.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.317 dated 21.09.2020, registered under Sections 307, 341, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur, (Offence under Sections 353 and 186 IPC added later on and Section 307 IPC deleted, vide report dated 26.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2025 passed by this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to the factum of compromise so effected between them. However, as per the report received from the learned trial Court concerned, one out of the 12 accused namely Maghar Singh was neither a party to the present petition nor was he a party to the compromise



stated to have been effected between the parties. It was also reported by the learned Trial Court that none of the private respondents including the complainant and injured appeared on 13.02.2025 to get their statements recorded in support of the compromise so arrived at between the parties.

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 17.03.2025, one more opportunity was granted to the parties to comply with the order dated 22.01.2025 and appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 09.04.2025 to get their statements recorded, subject to the payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be deposited with the District Legal services Authority concerned.

4. However, as per the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri, the parties yet again failed to comply with the order dated 17.03.2025 and get their statements recorded. As per the report, on 09.04.2025, 19.04.2025 and 24.04.2025, the accused-petitioners appeared, however, the complainant-injured namely Ghuran Paswan @ Guarav Paswan and the other injured-aggrieved persons namely Ghansham Mandal, Arun Singh, Shyam Mukhiya and Murari Mukhiya @ Surinder Sahni again did not appear before the learned trial Court to get their statements recorded, nor was cost of Rs.10,000/- deposited with the concerned DLSA.

5. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, the compromise cannot be said to be effected between the parties, in view of which, this Court does not deem it fit to exercise its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR in question on the basis of compromise.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.



CRM-M-3758-2021

-3-

7. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

May 15, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*