

2025:PHHC:119660



CRM-M-2777 of 2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2777 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 02.09.2025

Rohtash Singh and ors.

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Advocate,  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 553 dated 11.12.2017 under Sections 307, 506, 54 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Dharuhera, District Rewari and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 05.02.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 05.02.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 05.02.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari and as per the report dated 01.03.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

2025:PHHC:119660



CRM-M-2777 of 2025 (O & M)

::2::

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

As no injury attracting Section 307 IPC has been caused, there is a little possibility of conviction being recorded under Section 307 IPC.

In view of the aforesaid report of the Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 553 dated 11.12.2017 under Sections 307, 506, 54 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Dharuhera, District Rewari and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE

September 02, 2023  
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No