



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1211 of 2024
Date of Decision: 23.05.2025

Hitesh DahiyaPetitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondent(s)

AND

CWP No.1027 of 2024

Raj KishanPetitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CWP-1211-2024).

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CWP-1027-2024).

Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, two civil writ petitions i.e. CWP Nos.1211 and 1027 of 2024 are hereby adjudicated as issue involved and prayer sought in both the petitions are common. For the sake of convenience and with the consent of parties, the facts are borrowed from ***CWP-1211-2024***.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 10.01.2024



whereby his promotion from the post of Junior Engineer to Assistant Engineer was withdrawn.

3. The petitioner was promoted vide order dated 30.05.2019 from the post of Junior Engineer to Assistant Engineer. The said order came to be withdrawn in the light of judgment dated 21.12.2022 passed by learned Single Judge of this Court in ***CWP-15209-2021*** titled as '***Arun Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others***'.

4. The judgment of learned Single Judge has been set aside by Division Bench of this Court in intra-court appeal vide judgment dated 12.05.2025 passed in a bunch of appeals including ***LPA-128-2023*** titled as '***Virender Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others***'.

5. Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. A.G., Haryana submits that it is factually correct that in view of judgment of Division Bench of this Court, the foundation of impugned order has gone. He further submits that authorities are going to re-consider the entire issue in the light of aforesaid judgment.

6. In the wake of judgment dated 12.05.2025 of Division Bench of this Court, the impugned order has lost its sanctity and deserves to be set aside and accordingly set aside. Both the petitions are allowed. The respondent would be at liberty to consider case of petitioner(s) in accordance with law for seniority/promotion.

23.05.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No