



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CR No.3857 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.07.2025

Verinder Kaur

...Petitioner

V/s

Manjit Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Anshul Kathuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The present revision petition assails order dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh, vide which the application under Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for the rejection of plaint was partly allowed and a direction was issued to the petitioner to affix the ad valorem Court fee.

2. It has been pointed out that after the filing of the instant revision petition, an application for amendment of plaint was moved by the petitioner/plaintiff, which was duly allowed and the amended plaint is now on record, wherein relief of possession has been given up.

3. It has been submitted that on account of the same, the impugned order dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been rendered ineffective.

4. Learned Senior Counsel representing the petitioner submits that the issue of Court fee may be decided by the trial Court at the relevant stage, if it so deems appropriate and/or the same is raised by the other side..



5. Learned Senior Counsel for respondents No.1 to 6, on the other hand, submits that the respondents shall avail their remedies before the trial Court, if so advised.

6. That being so, the present petition is, therefore, disposed of in terms of the aforesaid statements given by learned Senior Counsel representing the parties.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

July 28, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No