



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(105)

CWP No. 1380-2025
Date of Decision : 20.01.2025

Manoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for
Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the only grievance of the petitioner is that as the information sought was not being provided to him by the department concerned, the petitioner had approached the State Information Commissioner, Haryana for the grant of requisite information but his claim is not being decided by the State Information Commission and the matter is being kept pending, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that appropriate direction be given to the State Information Commission for deciding the issue raised by the petitioner, which is pending before respondent No. 1.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf



of the respondent-State. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that an appropriate order on the application of the petitioner in case the same is pending even as of now, will be passed by the State Information Commissioner, Haryana within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

January 20, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No