



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-2705-2025
Decided on: January 23, 2025

Arpit Thakur
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Arpit Thakur son of Veer Thakur	118	07.07.2024	S. 111 of BNS, 2023 and Ss. 25(7), 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959	Civil Lines, Amritsar	Amritsar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations which have been levelled against the petitioner are also the allegations against the co-accused, namely, Gobind Sharma and Karan Sharma. Both the said co-accused have already been granted the



concession of bail by this Court, vide order dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), passed in CRM-M-64140-2024, titled as 'Gobind Sharma v. State of Punjab', and order dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), passed in CRM-M-55065-2024, titled as 'Karan Sharma v. State of Punjab'.

Further submits that for no reason the petitioner has been kept behind bars, and culmination of the trial is likely to take considerable time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel does not dispute the factual aspects, which have already been recorded in the bail orders of the co-accused, Gobind Sharma and Karan Sharma, dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) & 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), and also submits that final report has already been submitted, however, process of recording of evidence is yet to start. Even charges have not been framed.

4. Looking at the aspect that co-accused, Gobind Sharma and Karan Sharma, have already been enlarged on bail by this Court, vide orders dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) & 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), and finalization of the proceedings is likely to take considerable time, this Court does not find any special reason to keep the present petitioner inside jail for indefinite period.

5. In view of totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/



Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned,
if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any
threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner
directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as
an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is
expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available
on record.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 23, 2025
Pkapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO