



207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2889-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.02.2025

Parminder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sahilpreet Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petition under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short, 'the B.N.S.S.'*) has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.251 dated 17.12.2024, under Sections 109, 132, 221, 115(2), 127(2), 191(3) & 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*for short, 'the BNS'*), registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur.

(2) Status report filed by way of affidavit dated 10.02.2025 of Mr. Deepinderpal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Lehra, District Sangrur, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the other side.

Registry to do the needful.

2025.PHHC.020128



(3) Learned Counsel contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court vide order dated 20.01.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

(4) The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjit Singh and submits that as on today, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

(5) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(6) It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by the this Court vide order dated 20.01.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends, inter alia, that this is a no injury case.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, learned AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file response in the matter.

Posted for 11.02.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation and till the next date of hearing, his arrest shall remain stayed.”

(7) It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

(8) In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present

2025.PHHC.020128



petition is allowed; interim order dated 20.01.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

(9) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

(10) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

(11) It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

11.02.2025
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No