

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:098557



(204)

**CRM-M-2620-2025
Decided on : 31.07.2025**

Akshay Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Tapan Yadav, Advocate &
Mr. Ashutosh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.285 dated 23.12.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 17.01.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.285 dated 23.12.2024 under Sections 108, 3(5) of the BNS, registered at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that a perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR in question annexed as Annexure P-1 reveal that even if the contents of the FIR are taken at face value, no offence is made out against the petitioner for abetting the suicide of the deceased. It has been contended that the petitioner allegedly pressurized deceased-Gagandeep for returning the loan, which had been advanced to him. Learned senior counsel has further asserted

that it is a matter of record that the last telephonic conversation, which the petitioner had with deceased-Gagandeep, was a month prior to the alleged occurrence and hence, it was not even the case that the alleged threats had been issued in close proximity to the suicide in question.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Mohan, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Adjourned to 24.04.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation. He has also filed reply by way of affidavit of Shri Bharat Bhushan, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQ, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh on behalf of the respondent-State, which is kept on record. A copy of the same has been furnished to the learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel has further submitted that though the petitioner has joined investigation and no recovery is to be effected from him, but keeping in view the gravity of offence the petitioner ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court deems it appropriate to confirm the order dated 17.01.2025, keeping in view the totality of factual milieu of the case in hand, especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner having joined the investigation and cooperated therein.

5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 17.01.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

July 31, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No