



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

292

CRM-M-2901-2024

Date of Decision: 10.03.2025

HARCHARAN SINGH AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Aulakh, Advocate with
Mr. Hardik Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate with
Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of cross case GD No.20 dated 22.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC, PS Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur registered in FIR No.144 dated 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC, P.S. Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur alongwith all the consequential proceedings



arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 05.12.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. Vide order dated 14.02.2024, while issuing notice of motion, a coordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with respect to the compromise dated 05.12.2023 (Annexure P-3).

3. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala and got their statements recorded. Report dated 10.04.2024 has been received whereby after recording the statements of the parties, the Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR**



(Criminal) 1052, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioners.

6. Resultantly, cross case GD No.20 dated 22.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC, PS Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur registered in FIR No.144 dated 21.09.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC, P.S. Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

10.03.2025
vipin

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No