

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2324-2025  
Decided on: 29.04.2025

VIKRAM SINGH

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

| Name of Petitioner (s) | FIR No. | Date       | Section(s)             | Police Station                | District |
|------------------------|---------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Vikram Singh           | 378     | 13.11.2024 | 15-B of NDPS Act, 1985 | Sadar Narwana, Tehsil Narwana | Jind     |

2. On 16.01.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, for grant of anticipatory bail, in case bearing FIR No.378, dated 13.11.2024, under Section 15-B of the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Narwana, District Jind.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that allegations against the petitioner is that from the house of the co-accused Naresh Kumar, recovery of 45.600 Kgs of poppy husk has been recovered in the absence of the petitioner, and thereafter, on the basis of the



*disclosure statement of co-accused Naresh Kumar, name of the petitioner emerged.*

3. *Counsel for the petitioner further submits that had the petitioner been involved in any manner, his name would have been revealed by the accused at the time of effecting of the recovery and arrest from his house. Any subsequent disclosure is to be seen with heavy doubt because prosecution cannot succeed against the petitioner, all alone on the basis of such disclosure statement, once, recovery to that effect had already been effected by the agency.*

4. *Counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal activity also, including of the Narcotics.*

5. *Notice of motion.*

6. *On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent/State.*

7. *List again on 17.03.2025, to enable learned State counsel to file status report in the matter.*

8. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.*

9. *Besides, petitioner would submit/surrender his passport before the Arresting Officer and an undertaking on oath that in case of leaving the country, he would seek prior permission from the Investigating Agency/concerned Court.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.01.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated, thus, prays for confirmation of the interim bail order.



4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Amit Bhatia, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narwana, District Jind, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper book.

5. While opposing the prayer of the petitioner, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Suresh Kumar, submits that though the petitioner has joined the investigation once, but he has not fully co-operated because as per disclosure statement of already arrested accused namely, Naresh Kumar, Car (Ritz Car) which was being used by the petitioner in the drugs business, is yet to be recovered from him.

6. Upon this, on being asked by the Court, as to whether in disclosure statement or in FIR, registration number of vehicle is mentioned or not, Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer, who is present in Court, states that as per disclosure statement of already arrested accused namely, Naresh Kumar, no registration number of the car is mentioned in the disclosure statement.

7. From examining the record and submissions addressed, this Court is of the considered view that objection raised by learned State counsel is not tenable, rather same appears to be prima facie vague, just to oppose the prayer of bail of the petitioner.

However, this is not an appropriate stage where any observation can be recorded by this Court with regard to the authenticity of the disclosure statement of already arrested accused namely, Naresh Kumar. Therefore, this Court finds no substantial reason to decline the

**CRM-M-2324-2025****4**

prayer of the petitioner, interim order dated 16.01.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Petitioner is thus allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

It is further made clear that if in future petitioner is found indulged in similar kind of activities, prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in the present case.

8. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 29, 2025**  
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**  
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**