

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12225-1991 (O/M)

Date of decision : 05.03.2025

Hakam Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present :- None for petitioners.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG Punjab.

-.-

-.-

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 27.07.1982 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Collector, Agrarian, Rajpura, order dated 13.02.1984 (Annexure P-2), passed by learned Commissioner, Patiala Division, Patiala, order dated 25.11.1986 (Annexure P-3), passed by learned Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab and order dated 28.05.1991 (Annexure P-5), passed by learned Financial Commissioner (Development), Punjab.

2. On 02.12.2024, following order was passed :-

“ A fresh power of attorney on behalf of petitioners has been filed today by Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate, who prays for an adjournment on the ground that he could not prepare the case on account of being engaged recently.

It is, however, noticed that this Court had passed an order dated 19.11.2024 to the effect that in case the matter is not argued, consequential orders shall be passed. Hence, an adjournment on the ground of change of counsel can only be perceived as an attempt to defeat the order dated 19.11.2024.

Nonetheless, in the interest of justice, adjourned to 09.01.2025 subject to costs of Rs.2,500/- to be deposited by the petitioner with Social Welfare Fund Committee of Bar Clerks Association, Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh.”

3. Thereafter, again the matter had been adjourned twice on the written request circulated by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Today again, a written request has been circulated by learned counsel for petitioners, which is totally unjustified nor the order dated 02.12.2024 has been complied with.

5. In view of the above, written request circulated by learned counsel for petitioners seeking adjournment has been declined and the present writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

6. Pending applications(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

05.03.2025

sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No