

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.014627



CRM-M-4529-2025
Date of Decision: 31.01.2025

SOHAN

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Prabhjeet Singh Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

On a pointed query as to what was the material change in circumstances warranting the acceptance of this petition, he submits that the trial is still pending and there is no likelihood of the same concluding in the near future as atleast 15 prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined.

Learned State counsel on the other hand has opposed the prayer and submissions made by the counsel opposite by submitting that on earlier occasion when the petitioner had approached this Court, a detailed order had been passed dismissing the petition of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State has further submitted that the petitioner is a prime accused who had fatally stabbed the deceased; the complainant and the other eye witnesses had since been examined, who had supported the case of the prosecution in its entirety.

In the facts and circumstances enumerated herein above, no case is made out to entertain the present petition. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

The petition is dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

31.01.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No