



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

CRWP-486-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 18.01.2025

PRIYANKA SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Mr. Vishal Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking appropriate directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent No.3 to 5 and with a further prayer to direct the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be satisfied, in case, her pending application/representation dated 05.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) is decided by the concerned authority.

3. On the strength of advance copy of the petition, learned State counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner shall be looked into by the concerned authority/respondent No.2 and a decision on the



application/representation dated 05.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) shall be taken, in accordance with law.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties.
5. Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the relief sought, the instant petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the application/representation dated 05.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and take appropriate action, if and as required, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 02 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy/ production of this order and the same be communicated to the petitioner thereafter.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

18.01.2025

Nisha Yadav

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No