



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3780-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.02.2025

RANJODH SINGH AND ORS

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Akshit Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jangra, Advocate for
Mr. Jagtar Singh, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

CRM-5187-2025

The instant application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, for adding offences under Sections 325 and 201 of IPC in the head note and prayer clause of the main petition i.e. CRM-M-3780-2025.

2. Heard.

3. Keeping in view the averments made in the application, the same is allowed and Sections 325 and 201 of IPC is ordered to be added in the main petition.

4. Registry to do the needful.

5. Disposed of.

MAIN CASE

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated



CRM-M-3780-2025 (O&M)

08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
96	11.06.2015	323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC and 326 of IPC added later on.	Kurali, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kharar, vide report dated 07.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs.30,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 23.01.2025.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.
4. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kharar,, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.



CRM-M-3780-2025 (O&M)

3

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 96 dated 11.06.2015 under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC and 326 of IPC added later on. registered at Police Station Kurali, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

10.02.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |