



394

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4507-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.02.2025**

Gaytri Rani ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate,
and Mr. Himanshu Obroi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gujjar, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0339	28.10.2024	281 BNS [Sections 125(b), 324(4) BNS added subsequently]	Sector 5, Panchkula, Haryana

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 17.12.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of



the compromise arrived at between the parties. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, vide report dated 05.02.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 27.01.2025, an amount of Rs.15,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of the Blind and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 339 dated 28.10.2024, under Sections 281 of BNS and 125(b), 324(4) of BNS added later on, registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

17.02.2025

atulsethi

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No