



129

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-16-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.01.2025

VRC CONSTRUCTION INDIA PVT LTD

..... Applicant

Versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION RAJPURA & ANR Respondents**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Puran Singh Rana, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator for a period of three months.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11(6) of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 08.12.2022 (Annexure A-2) of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act has expired.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of



Arbitrator. Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter has already been heard by the Arbitrator.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the afore-stated factual, legal position and prayer of the applicant.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of 3 months from today.

6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.01.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No