



210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-2732-2017
Date of decision : 03.07.2025

Surender Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

Satya Prakash and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Munish Gupta, Advocate for respondents no.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 17.01.2017 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Div.), Kanina, vide which the application for impleading party as a defendant in the civil suit has been rejected.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset has very fairly submitted that the petitioner wants to implead party on the basis of agreement to sell but the civil suit for specific performance filed by the petitioner has been dismissed, although an appeal against the same has been filed and has thus prayed that the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 03, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No