



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.117

CWP-1032-2025

Date of decision: 02.05.2025

Jaideep and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh Punia, Advocate (through VC)
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. P.P. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.2 to 4.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority/Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Panchkula to take a decision on the representation dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) made by the petitioners.

(2) Learned State counsel has no objection if the petitioners' above prayer is accepted.

(3) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made by the



CWP-1032-2025

-2-

petitioners, by passing speaking order(s) and affording opportunity of hearing to all concerned within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(4) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

May 02, 2025
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No