






CRM-M-2332-2025

2

4. During the course of hearing on 13.02.2025, following order was passed:

“ 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was already in custody in other FIR No. 35 dated 05.08.2024 and FIR No.37 dated 12.08.2024 P.S. Lakhewali and remained in custody for 40 days. He further submits that although the police has sought the production warrants of the coaccused namely Kulwant Singh and Parvinder Singh but has not sought any production qua the petitioner, if he was so required in terms of the reply filed by the State.

3. The Investigating Officer of the case present in Court could not explain the reason and submits that the petitioner may be asked to join the investigation.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. List on 03.03.2025.

6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court along with record on that date.”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 13.02.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 13.02.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by



CRM-M-2332-2025

3

way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

03.03.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |