



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(127)

CR-230-2025

Date of decision: - 16.01.2025

Parveen Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Ramji Dass and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 04.12.2024 (Annexure P-6) whereby the application filed under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the plaint has been dismissed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that since in view of the law laid down by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in case titled as "*Arun Kumar Goyal Vs. Payal Aggarwal, reported as 2013(4) R.C.R. (Civil) 93*" whereby it had been observed that no revision would lie at the instance of the defendant against the decision on the question of inadequacy of court fee as the question of non-payment of Court fee is a dispute between the litigant and the Registry, thus, he be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to the petitioners to take up all pleas, which have been raised in the present petition and are available to them, during the course of trial.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

January 16, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No