



**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1258-2020

Date of Decision: 26.08.2025

Baldev Singh and Another ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and Others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of:-

- (i) order dated 17.12.2016 whereby they were dismissed from service without holding regular inquiry;
- (ii) Orders dated 02.11.2017 whereby appeal of petitioner No.1 was dismissed; and
- (iii) Order dated 03.12.2019 whereby revision filed by them was also dismissed.

2. The petitioners were dismissed from service on account of their implication in FIR No.297 dated 14.12.2016 under Sections 420, 406, 384, 182 and 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Parao, District Ambala. They unsuccessfully preferred appeal as well as revision before authorities. They during the pendency of instant petition have been acquitted by trial Court vide judgment dated 08.10.2024.

3. Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate submits that as per Rule 16.3 of

Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (as applicable to State of Haryana) (in short ‘PPR’), the case of petitioners needs to be reconsidered.

4. On being confronted with judgment dated 02.04.2025 passed by this Court in *CWP No.18777 of 2023* titled as *Bala Singh Versus State of Haryana and Others*, judgment dated 21.05.2025 passed in *CWP No.21304 of 2024* titled as *Nirmaljit Singh Versus State of Punjab and Others* and judgment dated 27.05.2025 passed in *CWP No.25411 of 2022* titled as *Dheeraj Kumar Versus State of Haryana and Others*, Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Addl. A.G., Haryana expressed his inability to controvert the fact that as per Rule 16.3 of PPR, matter needs to be reconsidered by the Competent Authority.

5. In the light of orders passed in *Bala Singh (Supra)*, *Nirmaljit Singh (Supra)* and *Dheeraj Kumar (Supra)* as well as Rule 16.3 of PPR, the impugned orders are hereby set aside and the Appellate Authority is directed to pass fresh order in terms of Rule 16.3 of PPR. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merit.

6. ***Disposed of*** in above terms.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

26.08.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No