



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(105)

CR No.2683 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

Jagdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Charan Dass

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Bhavnik Mehta, Advocate
for Mr. Anupam Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the demised premises has already been sold by the petitioner and the respondent is no longer a tenant of the petitioner. He submits that under the circumstances, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

2. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

March 17, 2025

Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No