



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

CWP-1211-2025

Date of Decision: 17.01.2025

Inspector Daljit Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to release leave encashment to the tune of Rs.2,28,779/-.
2. The respondent vide order dated 01.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) calculated petitioner's leave encashment to the extent of 300 days whereas vide order dated 23.09.2016 (Annexure P-3), reduced to 177 days. The petitioner claims that he was entitled to leave encashment of 300 days.
3. The order redetermining period of leave encashment was passed on 23.09.2016. The petitioner remained silent till November, 2024. There is no explanation for the inordinate delay. The petition though on account of delay and laches is not maintainable, yet this Court finds it appropriate to direct the respondent to look into Annexures P-2 and P-3 and determine whether petitioner was entitled to earned leave of 300 days or 177 days. Let the needful be done within 8 weeks from today.
4. Petition stands disposed of.

17.01.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No