

CWP-1382-2023
& connected cases.

1

2025:PHHC:008764



257-36

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1382-2023
Date of Decision:21.01.2025

SURENDER Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS Respondents

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Case Number</i>	<i>Party Name</i>
2.	CWP-2209-2023	DEEPAK V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
3.	CWP-5463-2023	BALA DEVI ALIAS RAJ BALA V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
4.	CWP-16353-2023	SUNIL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
5.	CWP-26461-2022 (O&M)	RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA AND ORS. V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
6.	CWP-1397-2023 (O&M)	RAJ KUMAR AND ORS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
7.	CWP-2275-2023 (O&M)	NASEEB AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate and
Mr. N.S. Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP-1382-2023, CWP-2209-2023,
CWP-16353-2023, CWP-26461-2022, CWP-1397-2023 &
CWP-2275-2023.

Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate and
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.



JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from CWP-1382-2023.

2. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to regularize them in the light of policies dated 16.06.2014 (Annexure P-2) and 21.08.2014 (Annexure P-3).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that petitioner(s) are working with respondent since 2006. As per Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024 which came into force w.e.f. 06.12.2024, an employee is entitled to be retained, if he/she has completed five years of service.

4. Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate General, Haryana and Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the respondents submit that claim of the petitioners would be considered in the light of afore-stated legislation.

5. Learned counsels for both sides are *ad idem* that validity of 2014 policy was challenged before this Court and now the matter is pending before the Supreme Court.

6. In the wake of statement of learned Senior counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of. Let the needful be done within 8 weeks from today.

7. As on date, the 2014 policy is not in force. It is hereby



clarified that respondent would reconsider claim of the petitioners in the light of Supreme Court judgment on 2014 policy.

8. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.01.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No