



CWP-1575-2020

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(252)

CWP-1575-2020

Date of Decision : 04.11.2025

Prithpaul Singh @ Pritpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms. Garima Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Abhishek Khullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Pratibha Bali, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of *mandamus*, upon the respondents, to release the remuneration of the petitioner, along with interest at the rate of 12%, on account of delayed payment of the same, for the period w.e.f. 01.04.2018 to 19.11.2019.

2. The plea as set up in the instant writ petition is that the petitioner post his retirement was engaged through the service provider agency, i.e. M/S Sunrise Facilitators (P) Ltd., at a monthly lump sum compensation of Rs.20,000/- per month, only. The petitioner worked through the outsourcing agency. However, he was not paid the payment for the period as mentioned above. The dispute in the matter in hand, is between the private firm and the petitioner. Therefore, the instant writ petition is not maintainable. However,



CWP-1575-2020

2

in case, the petitioner has any grievance against any private entity, or an individual, he is at liberty to file the civil suit before the Court concerned.

3. Considering the above observation of this Court, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition, with liberty to raise the grievance, by filing apt motion before the apt forum/Court concerned.

4. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with liberty as aforesaid.

5. In case, the petitioner files such motion, before the apt forum/Court concerned, within a period of eight weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, with an application for condonation of delay, in case, there is any delay, the latter shall consider the fact that the petitioner has *bona fide*ly approached this Court, by filing the instant petition, therefore, it shall decide the application for condonation of delay, accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 04, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No