



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-2345-2024

Date of decision: 20.01.2025

Rupinder Kaur @ Rupinder Kaur Golen

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Hasrat Brar, Advocate
and Mr. Rajeev Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.132 dated 23.12.2022, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Bahawala, District Fazilka.

2. On 20.03.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties are negotiating a compromise and that there is a likelihood of an amicable settlement. It has been submitted that the petitioner, in any case, is ready and willing to amicably resolve the matter on some reasonable terms and that in order to prove her bonafides, she is ready to deposit an amount of Rs.1 lakh before the trial Court within a period of 15 days from today.

Learned counsel representing the complainant has stated that his client is also open to any reasonable and



amicable settlement.

List again on 14.08.2024.

The petitioner, as per her offer, may deposit the aforesaid amount before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within 15 days from today, which shall be got invested in FDR in some Nationalized Bank. The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall, however, issue specific directions to the Bank concerned not to entertain any request for encashment of the same except under orders of the Court.

Subject to depositing the aforesaid amount before the trial Court within a period of 15 days, the petitioner, in the event of arrest, is ordered to be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Harsh Kumar and assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 20.03.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).
5. The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

20.01.2025

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No