



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

275

CRWP-328-2025 (O&M)

Decided on : 02.09.2025

RAJESH

. . .Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parmod Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel submits that the Act, that has been relied upon to deny the parole to the petitioner is not applicable to his case and place reliance of the judgments of this Court in **Sumit Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and Another**, CRWP-1517-2024, decided on 12.03.2024; **Mohit Rana Vs. State of Haryana and Others**, CRWP-2012-2024, decided on 20.03.2025 and **Joginder Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Others**, CRWP-4689-2025, decided on 08.07.2025.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide the same afresh within a period of 4 weeks, uninfluenced by the impugned order and taking note of the submissions above as well as judgments, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders



are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 02, 2025
Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*