

2025:PHHC:072384



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

255

CRM-M-1918-2025

Date of decision: May 27, 2025

SUKHDEV SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. G.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of FIR No.132 dated 09.07.2023 under Sections 323 325 148 and 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Samrala, District Khanna, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 02.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 28.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Sub Judicial Magistrate, Samrala, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified



and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will, and the complainants have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 27, 2025

Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No