

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CWP-919-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision : November 11, 2025

**KULDIP SINGH KASHYAP (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS
LRs**

-PETITIONER

V/S

**AMRIT DEVI (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRs AND
ORS.**

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Amar Singh Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Kaushik, Advocate, and
Mr. Anupam Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent No.4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has today filed separate applications seeking the impleadment of the respective legal representatives of the petitioner and respondent No.1, both of whom have since passed away.

2. The Registry is directed to assign numbers to both the impleadment applications.

3. As prayed for, both impleadment applications are allowed. The respective legal representatives of the petitioner and respondent No.1 are ordered to be substituted in their place. The amended memo of parties, as annexed to the application, is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, by virtue of the impugned order dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-3), only a limited right of entry and residence in the house in question was conferred upon respondent No.1, who has since expired, and therefore, no cause of action survives. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not dispute this submission.

5. In view of the supervening circumstance, namely, the demise of respondent No.1, who was the sole beneficiary of the right conferred under the impugned order, no cause of action now survives for continuation of the instant writ petition.

6. The instant writ petition is **disposed of** accordingly.

November 11, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No