



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1678 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Order:23.01.2025

Suresh Mohan Suri

.Petitioner

Versus

Manoj Aggarwal

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Shubham Pathania, Advocate
for Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate
for the respondent.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petitioner herein is the defendant in a pending suit for specific performance of the agreement to sell.
2. The learned trial court has permitted the plaintiff to rectify the typographical mistake in the plaint, which is impugned in this revision petition.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the entire complexion of the case is being changed as defendant was out of country from 20.03.2011 to 01.06.2011. He submits that the trial has commenced and the amendment cannot be permitted.
4. This court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the parties.
5. Whether the assertions made in the amended plaint are correct or not shall be decided by the court after permitting the parties to lead evidence as and when the case is taken up for final decision after considering

the evidence on record. At this stage, the court has only permitted the plaintiff to amend his plaint.

6. Commencement of the trial is not an absolute bar to permit correction of typographical error which can be corrected even after the final judgment is passed by the court

7. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.

8. Dismissed.

9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

January 23, 2025
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No