



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2092-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 01.08.2025

Prabhdeep Singh @ Pabbi
Versus
State of Punjab
...Petitioner(s)
...Respondent(s)

CRM-M-9077-2023 (O&M)
...Petitioner(s)

Jagtar Singh
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent(s)

CRM-M-6004-2023 (O&M)
...Petitioner(s)

Manpreet Singh @ Bhau
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent(s)

CRM-M-21242-2023 (O&M)
...Petitioner(s)

Naseeb Deen
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent(s)

CRM-M-43329-2024 (O&M)
...Petitioner(s)

Rajinder @ Joker
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent(s)

CRM-M-36718-2024 (O&M)
...Petitioner(s)

Pawan Kumar Bishnoi
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent(s)

CRM-M-9374-2025 (O&M)

Saraj Singh @ Saraj Singh @ Mintu
...Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE**

Present:- Ms. Gursharan K. Mann, Senior Advocate,
with Ms. Armandeep Sidhu, Advocate,
Mr. Anmol Jeevan Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-2092-2023.

Mr. Ajay Singh Pundir, Advocate,
for Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-6004-2023.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Praagbir S. Dhindsa, Advocate,
Mr. Kartik Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-9077-2023.

Mr. Lovepreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for Ms. Harpreet Maini, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-21242-2023.

Mr. Najim Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-43329-2024.

Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-36718-2024.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-9374-2025.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Bains, Senior Advocate (through video conferencing),
with Mr. Inderpal Singh Deol, Advocate,
Mr. G.S. Bains, Advocate,
for the complainant.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (ORAL)

1. These are the first applications filed by the petitioners under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 103 dated 29.05.2022 under Sections 302/307/341/326/148/149/427/120-B/109/473/212/201 of the

Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860; Sections 25(1)(A)/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Section 52-A of the Prisons Act, 1894, Police Station City-1, Mansa District, Mansa.

2. The petitioners have been in custody since 03.06.2022 in CRM-M-2092-2023 (Prabhdeep Singh @ Pabbi), 13.10.2022 in CRM-M-9077-2023 (Jagtar Singh), 30.05.2022 in CRM-M-6004-2023 (Manpreet Singh @ Bhau), 07.06.2022 in CRM-M-21242-2023 (Naseeb Deen), 11.09.2022 in CRM-M-43329-2024 (Rajinder @ Joker), 11.06.2022 in CRM-M-36718-2024 (Pawan Kumar Bishnoi) and 31.05.2022 in CRM-M-9374-2025 (Saraj Singh @ Saraj Singh @ Mintu).

3. Learned State counsel does not dispute that the trial is in progress where two injured eye-witness have already been examined.

3.1 The said statement of learned State counsel is disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner in CRM-M-2092-2023 by submitting that only one eye-witness i.e. PW1 has been examined and that too partly.

4. It is not disputed at the Bar that there are 180 enlisted Prosecution Witnesses. However, the parties do agree that there are only three eye-witnesses.

5. Looking to the seriousness of the offence, the prejudice, if any, caused to the petitioners on account of delayed trial gets outweighed by the gravity of the offences and, therefore, it would be appropriate to await the recording of statement of eye-witnesses before the request for regular bail is considered, so as to make available a safe and secure atmosphere for the eye-witnesses to depose.

6. The applications for the time being stand dismissed at this stage.

7. A photocopy of the order be placed in the connected file(s).

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

01.08.2025
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No