



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 1563 of 2025

Date of Decision : 21.01.2025

Rohit Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab School Education Board, Mohali and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Guramrit Kaur, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner's name should not contain alias in the certificate and the same should only contain his changed name i.e. 'Rohit Sharma' and the correction sought to be done in the mark sheets of 8th Class, 10th Class as well as of 12th Class issued by the respondent-Punjab School Education Board be got changed accordingly.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had got his name changed from 'Deepankar Sharma alias Deepankur Sharma' to 'Rohit Sharma' and requested for the change of the name in the mark sheets issued by the respondent-Board, which has been done but while changing the name, the name has been mentioned as 'Rohit Sharma alias Deepankur



Sharma'. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alias needs to be removed as, the same is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said request of the petitioner has been declined by the respondents by the impugned order dated 03.12.2024 (Annexure P-15) on the ground that the name has already been changed once and, therefore, the second correction cannot be done at the instance of the petitioner. The said order is under challenge in the present petition.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record with his able assistance.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that once, the petitioner has changed the name to 'Rohit Sharma', only the 'Rohit Sharma' should have been mentioned in the mark sheets and other certificates to be issued by respondent-Board without there being any alias and, therefore, the respondents should only mention 'Rohit Sharma' by correcting the mark sheets issued to the petitioner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

6. It may be noticed that initially, the petitioner's name was 'Deepankar Sharma' which was modified to 'Deepankur Sharma' and thereafter, the same was changed to 'Rohit Sharma'. It is a conceded position that vide Annexure P-5, a notice was published by the petitioner on 09.12.2022, which is as under :-

"I, Deepankar Sharma alias Deepankur Sharma s/o Sh. Harvinder Singh, r/o H. No. 215-D, Ranjit Nagar, Seona Road,



Patiala, Punjab, have changed my name to Rohit Sharma (CL22090768)."

7. A bare perusal of the above notice apparently shows that the petitioner accepted his name as 'Deepankar Sharma', which was modified to 'Deepankur Sharma' and was later on changed to 'Rohit Sharma'. That being so, now raising an argument that petitioner was never 'Deepankar Sharma' OR 'Deepankur Sharma' at any given point of time and his request of changing the name to 'Rohit Sharma' only, cannot be accepted. The respondents, in order to maintain the clarification have mentioned alias so that, in case any query comes to them, they can easily identify the petitioner that he was 'Deepankur Sharma' when appeared in the examination concerned and had later on changed his name to 'Rohit Sharma' so as to justify the issuance of the mark sheets in the name of 'Rohit Sharma' alias 'Deepankur Sharma'.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non-grant of actual benefit of exclusive name of 'Rohit Sharma', the petitioner is suffering mental agony. It may be noticed that though, some students are sensitive to a particular problem but, the relief can only be granted in case, the same is permissible or is causing prejudice to a candidate so that the relief needs to be granted.

9. In the present case, nothing has been brought to the notice of this Court as to how, the mention of alias is causing prejudice to the petitioner in any manner rather the same clarifies beyond doubt that the petitioner was named 'Deepankur Sharma', which has been changed to 'Rohit Sharma'.



- 10. No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present petition.
- 11. Dismissed.

January 21, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No