



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

CWP-801-2025

Date of Decision: 22.01.2025

B.D. Dhiman and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh Kadian, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he has instructions to withdraw the petition on behalf of petitioner no.2, and may be permitted to do so.

2. Prayer is accepted.

3. The petition, accordingly, stands dismissed as withdrawn *qua* petitioner no.2.

4. Learned counsel further contends that claim raised in the instant petition is for re-fixation of the petitioners' pension on the basis of revision of pay-scales. The issue stands decided in petitioners' favour vide judgment dated 16.05.2023 rendered in Civil Writ Petition No.26015 of 2013 titled *K.L. Vasudeva and others v. State of Haryana and another*. The judgment has attained finality as LPA No.1960 of 2023 titled *State of Haryana and others v. K.L. Vasudeva and others*, filed against it, also stands dismissed.

5. Learned State counsel contends that the petitioners' case will be considered as per law laid down by this Court in the judgments mentioned

hereinabove, and an appropriate order will be passed within two months.



The due benefits, as found admissible, will be released to them within two weeks thereafter.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

22.01.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No