



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

351

**CWP-342-2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.08.2025**

KANSHI RAM

....Petitioner

VERSUS**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition might not due to efflux of time, even be surviving.

Learned State counsel refers to Para No.1 of the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.1, to 3 to state that petitioner remained in the Municipal Corporation upto 31.08.1995 and was reabsorbed in the Punjab Roadways w.e.f. 1.1.1995 and promoted from the said date and could not have been granted the same prior as he was not working in the said department.

Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived by demonstrating that the *lis* still subsists.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

06.08.2025

Deepak Patwal

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable | Yes/No |