



261
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-274-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.11.2025

Ram Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the respondents.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the prayer in the present petition is only limited to seeking a direction to the learned Court, which is hearing the objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') to decide the same expeditiously. He submitted that the petitioner is a land-loser and the objections were filed by the respondent-NHAI in the year 2021 but the same have not been decided till date and therefore, prejudice has been caused to the petitioner. He submitted that the limited prayer in the present petition is for issuance of a direction to the learned Court to decide the objections filed by the respondent-NHAI under Section 34 of the Act in a time bound manner.



3. This Court after considering the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is of the considered view that the present revision petition can be disposed of since the objections under Section 34 of the Act filed by the respondent-NHAI are stated to be pending from the year 2021. Learned Court hearing the objections under Section 34 of the Act is requested to finally decide the same expeditiously.

4. The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

18.11.2025

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No