



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

141

**CRWP-307-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.01.2025**

KARISHMA AND ANR

....Petitioners

VERSUS

**STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH ITS HOME SECRETARY AND
ORS**

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. S.K. Sirsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of an appropriate order or direction to respondents No.2 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 5 and take action on their representation dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 10.01.2025 before the Superintendent of Police, District Fatehabad (Annexure P-3) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-



Superintendent of Police, District Fatehabad to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Apoorv Garg, Sr. DAG, Haryana who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without going into the question of validity of their relationship and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

14.01.2025

Deepak Patwal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No