



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-4028-2025

Date of decision: 24.01.2025

SATWANT SINGH TEJAY

....Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ramanjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to take appropriate action against the private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the petitioner having repeatedly approached the official respondents with respect to his grievance including an application dated 19.12.2024 Annexure P-3 given against the private respondents, no steps have been initiated against the alleged accused.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for issuance of directions as per the parameters laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the petitioner having approached the police for action



against the private respondents, the authorities concerned had been sitting over the matter. In support, he has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3 which is an application moved by the petitioner. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his application/ representation.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

7. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib is directed to look into the representation/application dated 19.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation/application dated 19.12.2024 (Annexure P-3), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

January 24, 2025
poonam

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No