

2025-PHHC-007811



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

**CRM M-1575 of 2025
Date of Decision: 20.01.2025**

Akshit Arora ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Naresh Kalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.134 dated 19.08.2024 registered under Sections 109, 190, 191(3), 125 of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Division No.5, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations were levelled by the complainant against Aryan, Deepa Purewal and 3/4 other unidentified persons, who had hit their Breeza car with the car of the complainant. He further contends that as per the allegations, the petitioner was travelling in the Scorpio car, which was following the Breeza car. Learned counsel further contends that the occupants of the Breeza car had fired indiscriminately, but no one

had suffered any injury in the incident and only a bullet had hit the car of the complainant. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 09.10.2024 and is in custody since then. Learned counsel has relied upon the order passed by this court in CRM M-56684-2024 passed by this Court, wherein, the similarly placed co-accused Gagandeep Singh has been granted the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the Scorpio vehicle of the petitioner, in which the petitioner was travelling was seen in the CCTV footage and the CCTV footage was collected by the police during the course of investigation.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. As per the case set up by the prosecution, the petitioner was traveling in the Scorpio vehicle, which was following the Breeza car involved in the commission of the crime. The petitioner was not initially named and has been named in the supplementary statement at a subsequent stage. The petitioner is in custody for the more than 03 months and the challan has already been presented. Moreover, co-accused Gagandeep Singh has already been granted the concession of regular by this Court vide order dated 09.01.2025.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the

satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) *The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.*
- (ii) *The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.*
- (iii) *The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.*
- (iv) *The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.*
- (v) *The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.*
- (vi) *In case, the petitioner involves in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.*
- (vii) *The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.*
- (viii) *The petitioner shall report every 1st Monday in English calander month to the concerned SHO till the*

conclusion of the trial and SHO shall mark his presence by making an entry in the rojnamcha. In case, he does not report on every 1st Monday to the concerned SHO, it shall be viewed seriously and the concession granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled and the State of Punjab shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

20.01.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No