



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-2680-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.03.2025**

Ravi Kumar alias Gairi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Ashish Pundir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.93 dated 29.04.2023, registered under Sections 120-B, 395, 201, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), at Police Station Partap Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused hatched criminal conspiracy; looted the bag of complainant containing Rs.7,00,000/- as well as two blank signed cheques and threatened him of dire consequences.

3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 14.12.2023 and all the material witnesses have turned hostile. Also contends that co-accused, namely, Kaish Mohammad @ Saif Ali, Navjot Singh and Harsh Kumar, have already been granted concession of bail pending trial, by Co-ordinate Bench as well as this Court, vide orders dated 03.07.2024 and 29.11.2024 (P-6 to P-8) passed in CRM-M-19834-2024, CRM-M-15800-2024 and CRM-M-30700-2024, respectively.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has acknowledged the above factual position.



5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 14.12.2023. It is also not in dispute that co-accused, namely, Kaish Mohammad @ Saif Ali, Navjot Singh and Harsh Kumar, have already been granted concession of bail pending trial, by Co-ordinate Bench as well as this Court, vide orders dated 03.07.2024 and 29.11.2024 (P-6 to P-8) passed in CRM-M-19834-2024, CRM-M-15800-2024 and CRM-M-30700-2024, respectively and there is no challenge to the orders till date by the State; therefore, there would be no justification to keep the matter pending and/or to prolong the incarceration of petitioner any further.
7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, in any manner.
10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

28.03.2025

Harish Kumar

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No