



CRM-M-1596-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-1596-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.03.2025

Istak

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Ms. Rosi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.503 dated 26.12.2024 (P-1), under Sections 13(1), 13(3) & 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, registered at Police Station Sadar Nuh, District Nuh.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused indulged in illegal business of slaughtering cows.

3. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 15.01.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

3. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Ashok Kumar and submits that his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.



CRM-M-1596-2025

2

5. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 15.01.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends, inter alia, that petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused Kallu and Alim.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file response in the matter.

Posted for 03.03.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’).”

6. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

7. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 15.01.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

8. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

10. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.



CRM-M-1596-2025

3

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

03.03.2025

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No