



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-298-2025
DATE OF DECISION: 14.01.2025**

SUKHWINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate and
Mr. Monu Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to decide the representation dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.3- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-



CRWP-298-2025

-2-

- 2) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.
5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

14.01.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>