



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-297-2025

Decided on : 14.01.2025

AMARJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondent Nos.1 to 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Amarjit Singh, aged 26 years, and petitioner No.2 – Mehak Sharma, aged 19 years, have solemnized marriage on 09.01.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondent Nos.4 to 7. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of



Police (Rural), Jagraon, District Ludhiana, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 (State).

Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jagraon, District Ludhiana, to look into the representation dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

14.01.2025

Lavisha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No