



CRWP No.397-2025 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP No.397-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.01.2025

Vishal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T.Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Shiv Parkash, Advocate for
Mr. Jasbir Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution praying for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioner as well as his family members at the instance of accused persons.

2. Brief facts of the case are that on evening of 06.09.2023, petitioner, his brother-Saajan & friend-Jaanu, were attacked by the accused persons namely Varun, Mohit @ Noni, Rohit, Suraj, Deepak @ Tinda, Vinay, Vansh & their associates and in that regard, FIR bearing No.123 dated 07.09.2021 under Sections 341, 307, 302, 201, 147, 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, 'IPC') was registered at Police Station Sector 31, Chandigarh against the aforesaid accused persons.

3. Contends that petitioner is being continuously pressurized, threatened to compromise the matter and to depose in favour of the accused persons. Further contends that accused persons being juvenile are misusing the concession of bail and are roaming near the residence of the



CRWP No.397-2025 2

petitioner. Lastly contends that petitioner has already given representations dated 27.10.2023 and 14.05.2024 to the Police, but no action has been taken so far. Hence, present petition.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper book.

5. It is not in dispute that after investigation of the above FIR, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C was presented. As on today, after framing of the charges, prosecution evidence is going on.

 In such a scenario, if the petitioner is apprehending any threat at the instance of accused persons, he may take recourse before learned trial Court, where proceedings are going on.

6. In view of the above, petition is disposed off with liberty aforesaid.

7. Needless to say that this order be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of controversy, in any manner.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

16.01.2025
Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No