

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

295

CR-211-2024 (O&M)
Decided on:05.02.2025

Sudhar Sabha Pracheen Mandir and another
Versus
Vinod Kumar Singhal and another
... Petitioners
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 19.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional District Judge, Patiala vide which the injunction application filed by the petitioners has been dismissed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, has very fairly submitted that since the main suit itself has been decided, thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.
3. In view of the above, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

05.02.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE