

2025:PHHC:050314



210.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1263-2025

Date of decision: 21.04.2025

Vahid and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in FIR No.946, dated 28.07.2015, under Section 365 of IPC, registered at Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 13.01.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioners are in no manner related to the complainant or the daughter-in-law of the complainant. However, merely because the petitioners are members of the Panchayat, they had been falsely implicated in the present case and alleged to have participated in the abduction of the complainant's daughter-in-law. It has been submitted that it is a matter of record that the daughter-in-law of the complainant along with her husband

had approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty at the hands of her parents.”

3. Status report by way of affidavit dated 08.04.2025 of Naveen Sharma, H.P.S., Assistant Commissioner of Police, City, Gurugram, on behalf of respondent-State, has also been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order dated 13.01.2025, petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 13.01.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 21, 2025

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No