



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1196-2025

Date of decision: 13.01.2025

KULWANT SINGH AND ORS

....PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. H.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition qua petitioner No.2- Harnek Singh son of Iqbal Singh, the bail application of whom has already been dismissed vide order dated 10.01.2025 passed in CRM-M-878-2025.

2. In view of the statement, present petition qua petitioner No.2 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had moved the application for grant of anticipatory bail before the learned trial Court which was decided vide order dated 24.10.2024 by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Moga, wherein ASI Nachhatar Singh had given the statement that the petitioners are not required in the FIR at present. He contends that thereafter the police is again raiding the premises of the petitioners No.1 and 3. Hence, the present petition.

4. Notice of motion.

CRM-M-1196-2025

-2-

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from ASI Davinder Singh, has submitted that as of now petitioners No.1 and 3 are not required to be arrested as per the investigation in the present case.
6. Keeping in view the statement given by ASI Davinder Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought withdrawal of the present petition at this stage.
7. Hence, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.
8. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

13.01.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |